



Schenkkade 50
The Hague - 2595 AR
The Netherlands
Tel: +31-70-800-2093
Fax: +31-70-808-0254
Email: admin@childabductioncourt.eu

OPEN LETTER TO PRESIDENT TRUMP

THE WHITE HOUSE

Mr. DONALD J. TRUMP

45TH PRESIDENT OF USA

1600 Pennsylvania Ave NW

Washington, DC 20500

USA

Date: 5/14/18

To Adjudge Lives Worthless: Vile Sanctuary City Judges COMPLICIT in Parental Child Abductions

Mr. President,

As you are aware, Sanctuary Cities, which are polarly opposed to federal immigration laws and international immigration standards, have for decades been the gateway for millions of illegal immigrants into the United States of America.

The “Sanctuary City Courts”, through the power vested in them have been turned into a draconian translation of the will of corrupt judges. **200,000 wrongfully retained children are brought into the country by their parental child kidnappers, through the means of these sanctuary cities, each year.** The parental child kidnappers are aware that the move into these “sanctuary cities” is their ticket to freedom from prosecution for the crimes they have committed. The oblique laws, which are perpetrated by sanctuary cities are then used by these parental child kidnappers and child abusers to significant effect. Local police and administration turn a blind eye to the illegal presence and vindictive past of these parental child kidnappers, for their own profit and convenience. All in the name of holding up a redundant and anarchic practice from the past.

Mr. President Donald Trump, it is not long before these child snatching parents shamelessly declare their presence in the country; claim the custody of the child, alimony from the parent who rests victimized in some other part of the world, and desire support from the state. However, **the practice which sets fire to the fuel of parental child kidnapping is that of the lawless sanctuary city judges.**

These “honorable” men and women in black robes, who are drunk on power go against all logic, rationality and legal jurisprudence to **unconstitutionally** award “custody” and refuge to these illegal immigrant child kidnapers, who have committed grave crimes against humanity and their own child.

The cogs in this wheel of corruption, which involves people from the top to the bottom of state institutional hierarchy are undoubtedly, the mutinous sanctuary city judges. These men who were to be the wardens of justice not only act as obstacles in the path of immigration law enforcement, they are now creepers, which pose a grave threat to the fundamentals of the US constitution and the very fabric of American society. Having disposed of the once revered Judea-Christian values on which U.S.A. was built, they rather act as iniquitous perpetrators of crimes against guiltless children.

A prominent example of the misdealing which Sanctuary City judges are constantly found guilty of was in the Sanctuary City, Luzerne County of Pennsylvania where the “Kids for Cash” scandal came out in 2007. Mark Ciavarella Jr. pleaded guilty along with his fellow judge, Michael Conahan on February 13, 2009, pursuant to a plea agreement, to federal charges of honest services fraud, wire fraud and tax evasion in connection with receiving \$2.6 million in kickbacks from Robert Powell and Robert Mericle, the co-owners and builders respectively, of two private, for-profit juvenile facilities of PA Child Care.

Mr. President, these two men were running a wild racket! They were responsible for the cruel sentencing of hundreds of young children who had committed victimless crimes. They were also guilty of allowing Illegal Immigrant Child Kidnappers into the country and then placing the kidnapped children into foster homes or mental health facilities. All in exchange of millions of dollars in kickbacks. This stems from the adoption incentives which are a large source of income for all those who run the “Child Welfare” institutions and the state actors, such as those from the Child Protection Services and obviously, the judicial actors in the Sanctuary City courts.

Liberals who are blinded by misdirected sentimentality and emotion support the actions of such judges who are acting with hidden agendas. They discredit U.S. laws as well as International Treaties and snatch a child away from the loving “left behind” parent who can only have “visitation rights” in the country. Thousands of decisions are made each year in favor of the child snatching parent or the Child Protection Services who both, are not fit to take care of the child in question.

Whereas, the only people involved who are psychologically and financially sound enough to ensure the child’s wellbeing are turned into the guilty party in the case. Excerpts from cases cited below clearly highlight that the “fit” parent has the first right over the child and should be awarded custody.

Troxel v. Granville (2002)

“The state may not interfere in child rearing decisions when a fit parent is available.” 530 U.S. 57

Quilloin v. Walcott (1978)

“A due process violation occurs when a state required breakup of a natural family is founded solely on a “best interests” analysis that is not supported by the requisite proof of parental unfitness.” 434 U.S. 246, 255 (1978)

Nonetheless, the Sanctuary City judges go against the precedent set by the rulings of higher courts time and time again.

Is this just unawareness of the law, which in any case is not afforded to citizens, let alone court judges? Or, is there more to it?

We believe there must be more, and that lawless Sanctuary City judges are abusing their power for personal gain and perpetrate practices, which are not just illegal but inhumane. The power they have is unconstitutionally accorded to them through the rebellion of sanctuary cities and thus, there is the need to put an end to their “criminal enterprises” and lawlessness immediately.

President Trump, the ruling in favor of State Bill 4 in Texas is a significant victory and paves the way for future legislation which can put a stunning halt to the power of these corrupt “Sanctuary City” judges. While there certainly is a long war to be waged against the widespread phenomenon of vile “Sanctuary Cities” and the lawless judges in these cities, we would like to congratulate on your victory in the battle fought at the Federal Appeals court in Texas.

Mr. President, when a corrupt California court had blocked your order to deny some federal grants to sanctuary cities, undermining the administration’s crackdown on illegal immigration, a San Francisco attorney, Dennis Herrera had said, “This is why we have courts. To halt the overreach of a president and attorney general who don’t either understand the Constitution or chose to ignore it.”

Obviously, Herrera had no voice to raise when Barack Obama was busily ripping the Constitution and overreaching his executive powers on climate change and environmental regulation, on the appointment of Richard Cordray to the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, on the petulant demand for public schools to open girls’ bathrooms to boys — or face Department of Justice lawsuit and loss of Education Department tax dollars. Neither did anyone raise their voice when Obamacare was lobbied through the states with vigorous disregard.

Mr. President, as each day passes, hundreds of children and their left behind parents are turned into victims whose lives are no longer worth living. Lawless “Sanctuary City” judges are the ones responsible for this recurring crime against humanity and families. If you do nothing, who will?

President Trump, this letter to you bears an argument based on incidents which highlight the crime of Parental Child Kidnapping and the plight of millions of victims of Parental Child Kidnapping who have time and again suffered at the hands of a rigged system which is overrun by incompetent and corrupt “Sanctuary City” judges.

200,000 children and the guilty child kidnapping parents, both of which are illegal immigrants reach these “Sanctuary Cities” every year. The child kidnapper is looking for refuge in the **only** country in the world which has so far failed to sign the UNCRC. On the other hand, the wrongful retained child is about to enter a corrupt system he/she does not fathom and is destined to years of displacement and pain.

Mr. President, in the past four decades since the introduction of the Adoption Incentive Schemes, there has been one verdict after another which has destroyed the fabric on which “Family Security” was built. Corrupt “Sanctuary City” judges and an equally corrupt legal system have created unavoidable roadblocks for all those “left behind” parents who are stuck in its jaws.

The plea we make to you today rests on the shoulders of parents and children who were traumatized and continue to be traumatized at the hands of confused or simply corrupt judges in this \$50 Billion “criminal enterprise “.

Thousands of verdicts are often made based on two principles:

- 1) The presumption that a (child abducting) mother is better suited to take care of a child by the virtue of being a woman and the mother. In such cases, the “illegal immigrant” mother despite lacking the financial and psychological means to raise a child is awarded “custody” of a wrongfully retained child due to the judge’s predisposition to gender norms and roles plus violations of Federal Immigration laws. Coincidence?
- 2) Secondly, the adoption incentive scheme and the billions of dollars which are generated with it through revenue gives the possibly corrupt judges a window of opportunity to consider neither parent “fit” to raise the child. In this case, the child protection services are given a wild card to place the child in foster homes or juvenile centers.

The case we present against judges is based on the testimonies of thousands of victims who feel vindicated after a verdict. Due to the unwillingness of Federal legislators and the executive to sign the UNCRC, “Sanctuary City” judges are provided the means to declare an illegal immigrant mother, who is guilty of the crime of child abduction, fit to raise a child. Along with this she is awarded the power to prevent the father from meeting the child or remaining in contact with his own offspring.

We must remind you Mr. Trump, the only mistake the father has committed is in choosing the wrong partner to have a child with. **Would you President Trump, someday, not have rights over your child, simply because the mother can no longer sustain a relationship with you?**

How would you feel Mr. President if a sanctuary city judge in a foreign land would not allow you to see or be with your own children after your spouse has wrongfully retained them in a foreign land far away...? Of course, this is only a rhetorical question, but I am sure you get the picture of the devastating outcome 200,000 left behind parents face every year due to lawless Sanctuary City judges in the USA.

Dorothy S. Huntington, an expert in Child Development Studies, gives us an insight into the mind of child kidnapping mother, in her study titled “PARENTAL KIDNAPPING: A NEW FORM OF CHILD ABUSE”

“If a woman is the perpetrator (as is the case in 90% of the cases in the U.S.A), it is usually a woman who gave up the custody to pursue a career, or for failure to sustain a marriage, and then becomes extremely guilty about the relinquishment of the children. The women also feel that because they are the mother, they deserve to have the children back. Female perpetrators also feel stigmatized as women in losing custody. There is some evidence also that mother perpetrators may have experienced physical abuse by the husband during the marriage. Many investigators familiar with child stealing feel that women perpetrators are much more disturbed psychologically than male perpetrators.”

The facts clearly outline that a mother who has faced the desire or need to kidnap her own child is not in the right mind to raise the child or have a sustainable relationship with a partner.

Nonetheless, it is the left behind father and the wrongful retained child who suffer, whereas the incapable and psychologically unfit, child abusing mother is the one who gets a **PERVERSE INCENTIVE**, by being rewarded with refuge and “custody “ of a wrongful retained child in the greatest country in the world along with support from these “honorable judges” who in their mentally disturbed mind represent **YOUR** Government.

Mr. President, we must ask you, in which land is this viable justice and how could these judges have any right to be in their seats of power after having made such unconstitutional and unlawful judgements?

It is the sad reality that when a child is abducted by a stranger, the news is covered by leading publications and media networks whereas the kidnapping of a child by a parent goes completely unnoticed. This gives judges such as **Mark Ciavarella** and **Michael Conahan**, who were running the “Kids for Cash” scandal, an opportunity to destroy the life of a child for the sake of profits. Mr. President, such vile “Sanctuary City” judges put a price on the life of a child and thus, deem it worthless.

Mr. Trump, we stand by you in your effort to put an end to Sanctuary Cities for once and forever. We are looking forward to working with you and assisting you in any way we can to STOP the lawless Sanctuary city judges who are **COMPLICIT** in the crime of parental child kidnapping.

CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO BE A PARENT - CASE LAWS
Bell v. City of Milwaukee (7th Cir. 1984) The Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment requires that severance in the parent child relationship caused by the state occur only with rigorous protections for individual liberty interests at stake. The parent child relationship is a liberty interest protected by the Due Process Clause of the 14th Amendment. 746 f2d 1205, 124245; US Ct. App 7th Cir WI (1985)
Carson v. Elrod No bond is more precious, and none should be more zealously protected by the law as the bond between parent and child. 411 F Supp 645, 649; DC E.D. VA (1976)
Doe v. Irwin (US. D. C. of Michigan 1985) The rights of parents to the care, custody and nurture of their children is of such character that it cannot be denied without violating those fundamental principles of liberty and justice which lie at the base of all our civil and political institutions, and such right is a fundamental right protected by this amendment (First) and Amendments 5, 9, and 14.

Franz v. U.S.

A parent's right to the preservation of his relationship with his child derives from the fact that the parent's achievement of a rich and rewarding life is likely to depend significantly on his ability to participate in the rearing of his children. A child's corresponding right to protection from interference in the relationship derives from the psychic importance to him of being raised by a loving, responsible, reliable adult. 707 F 2d 582, 595599; US Ct App (1983)

As Commander in Chief it is your constitutional duty to uphold the law and to hold human rights violators and child abusers accountable. It is your undisputed duty to hold these perpetrators accountable. corrupt and lawless Sanctuary City judges who are destroying the lives of wrongfully retained children and use them as a pawn against the left behind parents for their financial gain, **CANNOT** be tolerated under your watch. Can you stand by and pretend not see the evil faces of a rigged system?

I am personally looking forward to meeting with you.

Respectfully yours,

Mark Rackley – Trustee of the Members of the Board

International Criminal Court Against Child Kidnapping